Revision History

Revision Date	Changes	Author
2/02/2024	Extended data time series range to 29 January, 2024	Simon Carn, Can Li, Nickolay A. Krotkov and Peter J.T. Leonard

MSVOLSO2L4 README File v4

Multi-Satellite Volcanic Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) Database Long-Term L4 Global

Overview

This document describes the long-term database of volcanic SO₂ emissions derived from ultraviolet (UV) satellite measurements between October 1978 and the present (MSVOLSO2L4 v4). The database is primarily designed as a volcanic forcing dataset for use in atmospheric chemistry and climate model simulations of recent volcanic activity, and as a record of global eruptive volcanic emissions for the volcano science community. The database provides SO₂ mass loadings for all significant global volcanic eruptions detected from space since October 1978 and will be regularly updated as new eruptions occur and old eruptions are reanalyzed. Thus, users of the data are *strongly encouraged* to contact the database curators listed at the end of this document for advice on any recent database updates. A complementary NASA database (MSAQSO2L4; *Fioletov et al.*, 2019, 2023) provides estimates of continuous SO₂ emissions from passively degassing volcanoes and anthropogenic SO₂ sources [*Fioletov et al.*, 2016; *Carn et al.*, 2017] and should be used in conjunction with MSVOLSO2L4 to fully represent global volcanic SO₂ emissions in model simulations.

SO₂ measurements listed in the database are derived primarily from space-borne UV sensors. Eruptions between October 1978 and August 2004 were measured by the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) instruments that flew on the Nimbus-7 (N7; Oct 31, 1978 – May 6, 1993), Meteor-3 (M3; Aug 21, 1991 – Dec 28, 1994), ADEOS (AD; Sep 11, 1996 – Jun 29, 1997) and Earth Probe (EP; Jul 15, 1996 – Dec 31, 2005) spacecraft between October 1978 and December 2005 [Bluth et al., 1993, 1997; Krueger et al., 1995, 2000; Carn et al., 2003]. Note that no TOMS instrument was operational between December 28, 1994 and July 15, 1996, resulting in a ~18 month UV measurement data gap. The Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) on NASA's Aura satellite has measured eruptions since September 6, 2004 [Carn et al., 2013], although the EP-TOMS mission continued until December 2005, providing some overlap with OMI. Since 2012, UV SO₂ measurements from the Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Nadir Mapper (NM) aboard the Suomi National Polar-Orbiting Partnership (SNPP) satellite have also supplemented the OMI measurements [Carn et al., 2015, 2016; Li et al., 2017], particularly for eruptions impacted by the OMI 'row anomaly' data gap [Carn et al., 2013]. Since 2018, we have also been using UV SO₂ measurements from the Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) aboard the Copernicus Sentinel-5 Precursor (S5P) satellite [Theys et al., 2017, 2021] and OMPS aboard the NOAA-20 (N20) satellite [Li et al., 2023] to quantify volcanic SO₂ emissions. Infrared (IR) satellite measurements from sensors including the High-resolution Infrared Radiation Sounder (HIRS/2; 1978 – 2007; Prata et al., 2003), Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS; 2002 – present; Prata and Bernardo, 2007), Moderate Resolution Infrared Spectroradiometer (MODIS; 1999 present) and Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI; 2007 - present; Clarisse et al., 2012) are also used to validate and refine SO₂ mass loadings based on UV data, or measure SO₂ emissions not detected by UV instruments, particularly for high latitude eruptions in the winter months.

Explanation of column headings

Columns in the MSVOLSO2L4 data file contain the information shown in Table 1. Volcano parameters (name, latitude, longitude, and altitude) are derived from the Smithsonian Institution Global Volcanism Program (GVP) database (<u>https://volcano.si.edu/</u>).

Column header	Explanation	
volcano	Name of volcano (from Smithsonian GVP database)	
lat	Latitude of volcano (from Smithsonian GVP database)	
lon	Longitude of volcano (from Smithsonian GVP database)	
v_alt	Altitude of volcano (km; from Smithsonian GVP database)	
уууу	Eruption year	
mm	Eruption month	
dd	Eruption day	
Type*	Eruption style: 'exp' = explosive eruption; 'eff' = effusive eruption	
vei	Eruption Volcanic Explosivity Index, where known (from Smithsonian	
	GVP database); nd = no data or undetermined	
p_alt_obs	Observed plume altitude (km), where known (from Smithsonian GVP	
	database, pilot reports, other satellite data such as CALIPSO or MLS,	
	etc); Fill value is -999	
p_alt_est	Estimated plume altitude (km). Fixed at 10 km above vent altitude for	
	explosive eruptions and 5 km above vent altitude for effusive eruptions;	
	Fill value is -999	
so2(kt)	Measured SO ₂ mass in kilotons (kt; 1 kt = 10^3 metric tons)	

Table 1. Data fields in the MSVOLSO2L4 product

*) Eruption type (explosive or effusive) is provided to distinguish explosive eruption plumes, which have the potential for stratospheric injection of SO₂, from effusive eruption plumes, which typically attain maximum altitudes in the upper troposphere or below. Note that some eruptions may feature characteristics of both explosive and effusive eruptions; in such cases the database attempts to indicate the dominant eruptive style. For effusive or explosive eruptions lasting

longer than one day, the database provides estimated SO_2 loadings for each day on which new eruptive SO_2 emissions were detected. Note that the database does not include SO_2 loadings for volcanic clouds that continue to drift in the atmosphere and remain detectable after an eruption has ended.

Where plume altitude observations are available, these are provided in the data file (p_alt_obs). Plume altitude information may be derived from a number of sources, including satellite IR cloud-top brightness temperatures, plume trajectory modeling, direct (*e.g.*, pilot) observations, space-borne or ground-based LiDAR or radar measurements, or UV/IR SO₂ altitude retrievals [*e.g.*, Yang et al., 2009b, 2010; Clarisse et al., 2014; Hedelt et al., 2019; Fedkin et al., 2021]. In the absence

of direct plume altitude observations, a fixed plume altitude above the vent is assumed (10 km for explosive eruptions, and 5 km for effusive eruptions). Users are cautioned that the vertical structure of volcanic eruption plumes can be complex, and the database does not attempt to provide an accurate vertical emission profile; the plume altitude provided is an estimate of the altitude of peak SO_2 injection.

TOMS SO₂ measurements are mostly derived using the SO₂ retrieval algorithm described by *Krueger et al.* [1995, 2000], with updated SO₂ retrievals for the largest eruptions (e.g., 1982 El Chichón, 1991 Pinatubo) from the MS_SO2 algorithm described by *Fisher et al.* [2019]. Most OMI SO₂ measurements prior to 2014 were derived using the linear fit (LF) SO₂ algorithm described by *Yang et al.* [2007]. More recent OMI and OMPS volcanic SO₂ measurements use a newer, low-noise Principal Component Analysis (PCA) SO₂ algorithm [*Li et al.*, 2013] which is used to generate the current operational OMI SO₂ product (OMSO2 V2.0) produced from global mode UV OMI measurements [*Li et al.*, 2020], and is also used to produce operational SNPP and N20/OMPS SO₂ data [*Li et al.*, 2017; 2023]. For some larger eruptions prior to 2014 that produced higher SO₂ column amounts (>100-200 Dobson Units [DU; 1 DU = 2.69×10^{16} molecules cm⁻²]; exceeding the valid range of the LF SO₂ algorithm), such as the 2008 Kasatochi eruption (Alaska, USA), advanced offline retrieval algorithms [e.g., *Yang et al.* 2009a, 2009b, 2010] or the PCA algorithm [*Li et al.*, 2017] have been used to calculate more accurate SO₂ loadings.

During normal operations, 14 or 15 OMSO2 granules are produced daily, providing fully contiguous coverage of the globe. OMSO2 products are not produced when OMI goes into 'zoom mode' for one day every 452 orbits (~32 days), hence there are no OMI SO₂ measurements on these days. Since June 25, 2007 signal suppression (anomaly) has been observed in Level 1B OMI Earth radiance data for some OMI scenes. This anomaly is also known as the OMI row anomaly since it affects some rows of the CCD detector. After 2007, the row anomaly expanded to affect more rows creating a significant data gap, particularly at low latitudes where there is no orbit overlap [*Schenkeveld et al.*, 2017].

The principal difference between TOMS, OMI and OMPS SO₂ measurements is the lower detection limit of OMI and OMPS: the minimum volcanic SO₂ mass detectable by OMI/OMPS is about 1-2 orders of magnitude smaller than the TOMS detection threshold. This is due to the smaller OMI footprint and the use of wavelengths better optimized for distinguishing O₃ from SO₂ in OMI and OMPS measurements. Thus, the detection rate for volcanic eruptions has significantly increased during the OMI and OMPS missions, as smaller SO₂ emissions can be detected. Note that the TROPOMI SO₂ detection limit [*Theys et al.*, 2021] is even lower than OMI and OMPS due to its higher spatial resolution $(3.5 \times 5.5 \text{ km})$.

SO₂ mass loadings are calculated from the satellite retrievals of SO₂ column amount in DU using:

$$M_{SO2} = 0.0285 \sum_{i=0}^{n} \Omega_i A_i$$

where M_{SO2} is the total SO₂ mass (metric tons) in a volcanic cloud comprising *n* satellite pixels, and Ω_i and A_i are the SO₂ column amount (DU) and area (km²) for the *i*th satellite pixel, respectively. In regions with substantial overlap of adjacent satellite orbits, SO₂ data may be

resampled (gridded) to avoid 'double counting' of SO_2 (in this case, M_{SO_2} is the sum over *n* grid cells), or individual orbits may be considered separately. The database typically reports the highest measured SO_2 mass on a single day for explosive eruptions, assuming a SO_2 plume altitude equal to the observed or estimated plume altitude (p_alt_obs or p_alt_est). Note that the calculated SO_2 mass increases as the assumed plume altitude decreases. For effusive eruptions involving continuous emissions, the database provides daily SO_2 loadings and plume altitudes for each day of the eruption.

Subsets of the long-term volcanic SO₂ database have been previously published in *Bluth et al.* [1993, 1997] and *Carn et al.* [2003, 2015], with a major review of the entire database provided by *Carn et al.* [2016]. However, SO₂ algorithm updates or reanalysis of specific volcanic eruptions will undoubtedly result in some changes to previously reported SO₂ amounts in future iterations of the database.

Changes from Prior Versions of the Database

As noted above, SO₂ retrieval algorithm improvements, reanalysis of specific volcanic eruptions or updated information (*e.g.*, on plume altitude) may result in some changes to previously reported data. Some notable changes in the current MSVOLSO2L4 release (v4) relative to the previous version (v3) include the addition of new data for volcanic eruptions detected since early 2019, the insertion of more detailed SO₂ data for some eruptions omitted from the previous release (*e.g.*, Kilauea in 2018) and some refinement of SO₂ tonnage data and plume altitudes for old eruptions based on information from the scientific literature and new TOMS, OMI, TROPOMI, and OMPS SO₂ data analysis. In particular, the SO₂ mass for the 1991 Pinatubo eruption has been revised based on new TOMS data analysis [*Fisher et al.*, 2019].

Data Quality Assessment and Errors

Satellite SO₂ measurements are optimized for low optical depths of SO₂ under cloud-free conditions in a Rayleigh scattering atmosphere. Errors on the TOMS, OMI and OMPS volcanic SO₂ measurements can be difficult to quantify, owing to the highly variable (and often poorly constrained) measurement conditions in volcanic clouds, which may contain aerosols (volcanic ash and sulfate) and hydrometeors in addition to SO₂ [Krotkov et al., 1997; Fisher et al., 2019]. A major source of uncertainty in the SO₂ mass loadings, particularly for volcanic plumes in the lower troposphere, is the assumed SO₂ altitude or vertical profile [Yang et al., 2007; Li et al., 2017]. In most cases, the altitude assumed in the SO₂ retrieval is given by p_alt_obs or p_alt_est. If the assumed altitude is below the true altitude of the volcanic SO₂, the SO₂ mass will be overestimated, and vice versa, all other factors being equal. The accuracy and precision of OMI, OMPS, TROPOMI, and TOMS SO₂ measurements also vary significantly with observational geometry and slant column ozone. UV measurements become more sensitive to SO₂ above clouds and snow/ice, and less sensitive to SO₂ below clouds. Overall errors of ~20-30% are commonly assumed, though they may be higher for some eruptions. Both the TOMS and OMI SO₂ measurements have been validated to a limited extent with ground-based SO₂ measurements [e.g., Krueger et al., 2000; Spinei et al., 2010; Carn and Lopez, 2011]. Users are strongly encouraged to contact the database curators to discuss specific cases.

Product Description

The database is provided as an ASCII text file. The data file is available from the NASA Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC) web site (doi: 10.5067/MEASURES/SO2/DATA405). For general assistance with the data archive, please contact the NASA GES DISC: gsfc-dl-help-disc@mail.nasa.gov.

Contact Information

For questions and comments related to the MSVOLSO2L4 product and data quality please contact Nickolay Krotkov (<u>Nickolay.A.Krotkov@nasa.gov</u>), who has the overall responsibility for this product, with copies to the main database curator, Simon Carn (<u>scarn@mtu.edu</u>).

References

Bluth, G. J. S., Schnetzler, C. C., Krueger, A. J. & Walter, L. S. (1993). The contribution of explosive volcanism to global atmospheric sulfur dioxide concentrations. *Nature*, 366, 327-329.

Bluth, G. J. S., Rose, W. I., Sprod, I. E. and Krueger, A. J. (1997). Stratospheric loading from explosive volcanic eruptions. *Journal of Geology*, 105, 671-683.

Carn, S.A. and T.M. Lopez (2011). Opportunistic validation of sulfur dioxide in the Sarychev Peak volcanic eruption cloud, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 1705-1712, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1705-2011.

Carn, S.A., Krueger, A.J., Bluth, G.J.S., Schaefer, S.J., Krotkov, N.A., Watson, I.M. and Datta, S. (2003). Volcanic eruption detection by the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) instruments: a 22-year record of sulfur dioxide and ash emissions. In: Volcanic Degassing (eds. C. Oppenheimer, D.M. Pyle and J. Barclay), *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, 213, pp.177-202.

Carn, S.A., N.A. Krotkov, K. Yang, and A.J. Krueger (2013). Measuring global volcanic degassing with the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), In: Pyle, D.M., Mather, T.A. and Biggs, J. (eds) Remote Sensing of Volcanoes and Volcanic Processes: Integrating Observation and Modeling, *Geol. Soc. Lon, Special Publications*, 380, doi:10.1144/SP380.12.

Carn, S.A., K. Yang, A.J. Prata, and N.A. Krotkov (2015). Extending the long-term record of volcanic SO₂ emissions with the Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite (OMPS) Nadir Mapper (NM), *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 925-932, doi: 10.1002/2014GL062437.

Carn, S.A., L. Clarisse and A.J. Prata (2016). Multi-decadal satellite measurements of global volcanic degassing, *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.*, 311, 99-134, doi: 10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2016.01.002.

Carn, S.A., V.E. Fioletov, C.A. McLinden, C. Li, and N.A. Krotkov (2017), A decade of global volcanic SO₂ emissions measured from space, Sci. Rep., 7, 44095; doi:10.1038/srep44095.

Clarisse, L., D. Hurtmans, C. Clerbaux, J. Hadji-Lazaro, Y. Ngadi, and P.-F. Coheur (2012). Retrieval of sulphur dioxide from the infrared atmospheric sounding interferometer (IASI), *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 581–594, doi:10.5194/amt-5-581-2012.

Clarisse, L., Coheur, P.-F., Theys, N., Hurtmans, D., and Clerbaux, C. (2014). The 2011 Nabro eruption, a SO₂ plume height analysis using IASI measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 3095-3111, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3095-2014.

Fedkin, N. M., Li, C., Krotkov, N. A., Hedelt, P., Loyola, D. G., Dickerson, R. R., and Spurr, R. (2021), Volcanic SO₂ effective layer height retrieval for the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) using a machine-learning approach, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 14, 3673–3691, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-3673-2021.

Fioletov, V.E., C.A. McLinden, N.A. Krotkov, C. Li, J. Joiner, N. Theys, S.A. Carn, and M.D. Moran (2016), A global catalogue of large SO₂ sources and emissions derived from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 11497-11519, doi:10.5194/acp-16-11497-2016.

Fioletov, V., C. McLinden, N. Krotkov, C. Li, P. Leonard, J. Joiner, S. Carn (2019), Multi-Satellite Air Quality Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) Database Long-Term L4 Global V1, Edited by Peter Leonard, Greenbelt, MD, USA, Goddard Earth Science Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), Accessed: May 11, 2022, 10.5067/MEASURES/SO2/DATA403.

Fioletov, V.E., C.A. McLinden, D. Griffin, I. Abboud, N. Krotkov, P.J.T. Leonard, C. Li, J. Joiner, N. Theys, and S. Carn (2023), Version 2 of the global catalogue of large anthropogenic and volcanic SO2 sources and emissions derived from satellite measurements, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 15, 75–93, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-15-75-2023.

Fisher, B.L., N.A. Krotkov, P.K. Bhartia, C. Li, S.A. Carn, E. Hughes, and P.J.T. Leonard (2019). A new discrete wavelength backscattered ultraviolet algorithm for consistent volcanic SO₂ retrievals from multiple satellite missions, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 5137-5153, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5137-2019.

Krotkov, N. A., A. J. Krueger, P. K. Bhartia (1997). Ultraviolet optical model of volcanic clouds for remote sensing of ash and sulfur dioxide, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102(D18), 21891-21904, 10.1029/97JD01690.

Krueger, A.J., L.S. Walter, P.K. Bhartia, C.C. Schnetzler, N.A. Krotkov, I. Sprod, and G.J.S. Bluth (1995). Volcanic sulfur dioxide measurements from the total ozone mapping spectrometer instruments. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100(D7), 14057-14076, 10.1029/95JD01222.

Krueger, A. J., S. J. Schaefer, N. Krotkov, G. Bluth, and S. Barker (2000). Ultraviolet remote sensing of volcanic emissions. In: Mouginis-Mark, P. J., Crisp, J. A. & Fink, J. H. (eds) Remote Sensing of Active Volcanism. *Geophysical Monograph* 116, AGU, Washington, DC, 25-43.

Hedelt, P., D. S. Efremenko, D. G. Loyola, R. Spurr, and L. Clarisse (2019). SO₂ Layer Height retrieval from Sentinel-5 Precursor/TROPOMI using FP_ILM, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 5503–5517, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5503-2019</u>.

Li, C., J. Joiner, N. A. Krotkov, and P. K. Bhartia (2013). A fast and sensitive new satellite SO₂ retrieval algorithm based on principal component analysis: Application to the ozone monitoring instrument, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, doi:10.1002/2013GL058134.

Li, C., N.A. Krotkov, S.A. Carn, Y. Zhang, R.J.D. Spurr, and J. Joiner (2017). New-generation NASA Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument volcanic SO₂ dataset: Algorithm description, initial results, and continuation with the Suomi-NPP Ozone Mapping and Profiler Suite, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 445-458, doi:10.5194/amt-10-445-2017.

Li, C., N.A. Krotkov, P.J.T. Leonard, S.A. Carn, J. Joiner, R.J.D. Spurr and A. Vasilkov (2020), Version 2 Ozone Monitoring Instrument SO2 Product (OMSO2 V2): New Anthropogenic SO₂

Vertical Column Density Dataset, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 13, 6175-6191, https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/13/6175/2020/.

Li, C., N. A. Krotkov, P.J.T. Leonard, et al. (2023), OMPS-N20 NM PCA SO2 Step 1 Total Column 1-Orbit L2 Swath 17x13km, Greenbelt, MD, USA, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), Accessed: [*Data Access Date*], <u>10.5067/OMPS/OMPS_N20_NMSO2_PCA_L2_Step1_1</u> <u>https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/OMPS_N20_NMSO2_PCA_L2_Step1_1/summary</u>

Prata, A. J., and C. Bernardo (2007). Retrieval of volcanic SO₂ column abundance from Atmospheric Infrared Sounder data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D20204, doi:10.1029/2006JD007955.

Prata, A. J., S. Self, W. I. Rose, and D. M. O'Brien (2003). Global, long-term sulphur dioxide measurements from TOVS data: A new tool for studying explosive volcanism and climate, in Volcanism and the Earth's Atmosphere, *Geophys. Monogr. Ser.*, vol. 139, edited by A. Robock and C. Oppenheimer, pp. 75–92, AGU, Washington, D. C.

Schenkeveld, V. M. E., Jaross, G., Marchenko, S., Haffner, D., Kleipool, Q. L., Rozemeijer, N. C., Veefkind, J. P., and Levelt, P. F. (2017), In-flight performance of the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 1957–1986, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-1957-2017.

Spinei, E., S.A. Carn, N.A. Krotkov, G.H. Mount, K. Yang, and A.J. Krueger (2010). Validation of Ozone Monitoring Instrument SO₂ measurements in the Okmok volcanic cloud over Pullman, WA in July 2008. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D00L08, doi:10.1029/2009JD013492.

Theys, N., et al. (2017), Sulfur dioxide retrievals from TROPOMI onboard Sentinel-5 Precursor: algorithm theoretical basis, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 119-153, doi:10.5194/amt-10-119-2017.

Theys, N., Fioletov, V., Li, C., De Smedt, I., Lerot, C., McLinden, C., Krotkov, N., Griffin, D., Clarisse, L., Hedelt, P., Loyola, D., Wagner, T., Kumar, V., Innes, A., Ribas, R., Hendrick, F., Vlietinck, J., Brenot, H., and Van Roozendael, M. (2021). A Sulfur Dioxide Covariance-Based Retrieval Algorithm (COBRA): application to TROPOMI reveals new emission sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 16727–16744, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-16727-2021</u>,

Yang, K., N. Krotkov, A. Krueger, S. Carn, P. K. Bhartia, and P. Levelt (2007). Retrieval of Large Volcanic SO₂ columns from the Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI): Comparisons and Limitations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D24S43, doi:10.1029/2007JD008825.

Yang, K., N. A. Krotkov, A. J. Krueger, S. A. Carn, P. K. Bhartia, and P. F. Levelt (2009a). Improving retrieval of volcanic sulfur dioxide from backscattered UV satellite observations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L03102, doi:10.1029/2008GL036036.

Yang, K., X. Liu, N.A. Krotkov, A.J. Krueger and S.A. Carn (2009b). Estimating the altitude of volcanic sulfur dioxide plumes from space-borne hyper-spectral UV measurements, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L10803, doi:10.1029/2009GL038025.

Yang, K., X. Liu, P.K. Bhartia, N.A. Krotkov, S.A. Carn, E. Hughes, A.J. Krueger, R. Spurr and S. Trahan (2010). Direct retrieval of sulfur dioxide amount and altitude from spaceborne hyperspectral UV measurements: theory and application, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D00L09, doi:10.1029/2010JD013982.